(3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BENCH

AT RAIPUR CHHATTISGARH

Original Application No. 394 /2001 CG/SAT/410/01

Smt. Anju Raghav,
Wife of Shri Dhurendra Raghav,
Assistant Teacher, posted at
Primary School, Purena,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. Smt. Jiteshwari Dass,
Wife of Shri Jitendra Dass,
Assistant Teacher, posted at
Primary School, Purena,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh) .. Applicants

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Director,
Public Instruction, Pension Bada,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. District Education Officer,
District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

.. Non-Applicants

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 1985

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4524 OF 2005

Petitioners : Sandip Dubey and others

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4637 OF 2005

Petitioners : Shyam Sundar Sharma and others

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4407 OF 2005

Petitioners : Umesh Dwivedi and another

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4410 OF 2005

Petitioners : Smt. Anju Raghav and another

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

58

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4082 OF 2005

Petitioners : Ashok Kumar Deonath and others

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4521 OF 2005

Petitioners : Chandrakant Sahu and others

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

Present : Mr. Anupam Dubey and Mr. Kunal Das, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Mr. V.V.S.Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 15th Day of December, 2006)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as also for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on

59

15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal